

18. Kolinski, A. & Skolnick, J. (1996). *Lattice models of protein folding, dynamics and thermodynamics*, R. G. Landes, Austin, TX.
19. Kolinski, A. & Skolnick, J. (1998). Assembly of protein structure from sparse experimental data. An efficient Monte Carlo Model. *Proteins* **32**, 475-94.
20. Koradi, R. (1996). MOLMOL: a program for display and analysis of macromolecular structures. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 51-55.
21. Madej, T., Gibrat, J. F. & Bryant, S. H. (1995). Threading a database of protein scores. *Proteins* **23**, 356-369.
22. Milik, M., Kolinski, A. & Skolnick, J. (1995). Neural Network System for the Evaluation of Side Chain Packing in Protein Structures. *Protein Engng.* **8**, 225-236.
23. Miller, R. T., Jones, D. T. & Thornton, J. M. (1996). Protein fold recognition by sequence threading tools and assessment techniques. *FASEB* **10**, 171-178.
24. Sali, A., Overington, J. P., Johnson, M. S. & Blundell, T. L. (1990). From comparison of protein sequences and structures to protein modeling and design. *TIBS* **15**, 235-250.
25. Skolnick, J., Kolinski, A. & Ortiz, A. R. (1997). MONSSTER: A method for folding globular proteins with a small number of distance restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* **265**, 217-241.
26. Wodak, S. J. & Rooman, M. J. (1993). Generating and testing protein folds. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **3**, 247-259.

* * *

One skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the present invention is well adapted to carry out the objects and obtain the ends and advantages mentioned, as well as those inherent therein. SICHO, as implemented above, is exemplary and is not intended as limiting the scope of the invention described herein. It will be readily apparent to one skilled in the art that varying alterations and modifications

may be made to the invention disclosed herein without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

All patents, patent applications, and publications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the levels of those skilled in the art to which the invention pertains, and are hereby incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

The invention illustratively described herein suitably may be practiced in the absence of any element or elements, limitation, or limitations not specifically disclosed herein. The terms and expressions which have been employed are used as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention that in the use of such terms and expressions of excluding any equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, but it is recognized that various modifications are possible within the scope of the invention claimed. Thus, it should be understood that although the present invention has been specifically disclosed in various embodiments, modification and variation of the concepts herein disclosed may be resorted to by those skilled in the art, and that such modifications and variations are considered to be within the scope of this invention as defined by the appended claims.

The invention has been described broadly and generically herein. Each of the narrower species and subgeneric groupings falling within the generic disclosure also form part of the invention. This includes the generic description of the invention with a proviso or negative limitation removing any subject matter from the genus, regardless of whether or not the excised material is specifically recited herein.

Other embodiments are within the following claims.

5

REFERENCES (excluding Example 2)

1. Friesner, R. A., Gunn, J. R., Computational studies of protein folding. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **25**:315-342, 1996.
2. Levitt, M., Protein folding. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **1**:224-229, 1991.
3. Anfinsen, C. B., Scheraga, H. A., Experimental and theoretical aspects of protein folding. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **29**:205-300, 1975.
4. Smith-Brown, M. J., Kominos, D., Levy, R. M., Global folding of proteins using a limited number of distance restraints. *Protein Eng.* **6**:605-614, 1993.
5. Aszodi, A., Gradwell, M. J., Taylor, W. R., Global fold determination from a small number of distance restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* **251**:308-326, 1995.
6. Skolnick, J., Kolinski, A., Ortiz, A. R., MONSSTER: A method for folding globular proteins with a small number of distance restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* **265**:217-241, 1997.
7. Kaptein, R., Boelena, R., Scheek, R. M., van Gunsteren, W. F., Protein structures from MNR. *Biochemistry* **27**:5389-5395, 1988.
8. Gronenborn, A. M., Clore, G. M., Where is NMR taking us? *Proteins* **19**:273-276, 1994.
9. Braun, W., Go, N. Calculation of protein conformations by proton-proton distance constraints. A new efficient algorithm. *J. Mol. Biol.* **186**:611-626, 1985.
10. Havel, T. F., Wuthrich, K. An evaluation of the combined use of nuclear magnetic resonance and distance geometry for the determination of protein conformation in solution. *J. Mol. Biol.* **182**:281-294, 1985.
11. Havel, T. F. An evaluation of computational strategies for use in the determination of protein structures from distance constraints obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **56**:43-78, 1991.
12. Mumenthaler, C., Braun, W. Automated assignment of simulated experimental NOESY spectra of protein from back littering and self-correcting distance geometry. *J. Mol. Biol.* **254**:463-480, 1995.
13. Guentert, P., Braun, W., Wuthrich, K. Efficient computation of three-dimensional protein structures in solution from nuclear magnetic resonance